

Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé

Equipe Prédateurs Marins



Extracting oceanographic data via \mathbb{R} : An application to habitat modelling of marine species

M Louzao^{1,2},* A Goarant³, C Peron¹, J-B Thiebot¹, D Pinaud¹, P Koubbi³, K Delord¹, C Barbraud¹, H Weimerskirch¹, C-A Bost¹, G Duhamel⁴, P Pruvost⁴

Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé, CNRS UPR 1934, 79369 Villiers en Bois, France
Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ, Permoserstrasse 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany
LOV, CNRS , Station Zoologique BP28, 06230 Villefranche sur Mer, France
MNHN, DMPA - UMR 5178, 43 rue Cuvier, 75005 Paris, France



Framework

➤ Thanks to the rapid development of remote sensing technologies, the availability of oceanographic data has dramatically increased during the last years.

Diverse oceanographic data availability depending on:

- (1) sources (NOAA, ESA)
- (2) format (netcdf, hdf)
- (3) resolution (temporal and spatial)

➢ Oceanographic data extraction is time-consuming and difficult to handle within the same format.



http://earth.esa.int/workshops/venice06/participants/282/paper_282_blanc.pdf



1-day chlorophyll measurement (http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov)

R-based tool: Xtractomatic

Recently developed a R-based tool: Xtractomatic

(http://coastwatch.pfel.noaa.gov/xtracto/)

Environmental Research Division Southwest Fisheries Science Center US National Marine Fisheries Service

 \succ Simply make available environmental data (SST, chlorophyll, wind) within the R environment.

| 😻 Bloom Watch 360 - Mozilla Firefox | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Datei Bearbeiten Ansicht Chronik | Lesezeichen Extras Hilfe | | | | |
| 🔇 🔊 - C 🗙 🏠 [| http://coastwatch.pfel.noaa.gov/coastwatch/CWBrowserWW360.jsp?edil | t=Grid+Data&gridDataSet=SST%2C+Pathfinder+Ver+5.0%2C+Night%2C+4.4 🏠 🔹 🔀 ver point extracting oceanographic data 🔎 | | | |
| 🧖 Meistbesuchte Seiten 🌸 Erste Schritt | e 🔝 Aktuelle Nachrichten | | | | |
| BloomWatch 360 | | CoastWatch West Coast Regional Node | | | |
| Create custom maps and download oceanographic data. [Help] | | Home CWBrowser Sites Feedback About Us | | | |
| Edit | C The Map C Grid Data C Contour Data C Vector Da | ata C Station Vector Data C Station Data 1 C Station Data 2 | | | |
| 1) Select a data set | SST, Pathfinder Ver 5.0, Night, 4.4 km, Global, Science Quality* | | | | |
| 2) Select a time period: | C1 day C5 day C7 day C8 day C1 month | | | | |
| 3) Select a centered time (GMT) | 3) Select a centered time (GMT): 2007-12-16 12:00:00 V < + > Or, 2007 V 12 V 16 V 12:00:00 V | | | | |
| 4) Select the units: | € degree C C degree F | | | | |
| 5) Select a palette: | Rainbow V Scale: Linear V Min: 8.0 - + Max: 32.0 - + | | | | |
| 6) Download the grid data: | asc ESRI asc Google Earth .grd .hdf .mat .nc .ncHeader .tlf .xyz EGDC Elle Type Info GET Queries OPeNDAP Data Set Info | | | | |
| 7) Optional: | Enter a longitude and latitude | or click on the map to see a time series of 'Time Period' averages. | | | |
| hote CoastWatch | 160° 225° 270° 315° 560° | | | | |
| 8 11 14 17 SST, Pathfinder Ver 5.0, Night, 4. (degree C) 2007-12 Data courtesy of NOAA NODC | | | | | |

http://coastwatch.pfel.noaa.gov/coastwatch/CWBrowserWW360.jsp

R-based tool: Xtractomatic

> Two types of oceanographic data extraction:

Series of longitude, latitude and time specifying an extraction box

WAAL foraging trip



3-D cube: longitude, latitude and time

Monthly wind speed (m/s) Jan 1998-Oct 2008 in the Crozet longitude



extract<-rxtractomatic(xpos=230°, ypos=40°, tpos='2006-01-01', dtype='18', xrad=0.1°, yrad=0.1°)

 1°) tpos=c('2006-05-05','2006-05-21'), dtype='18')

> Data storage: netcdf (20 years of daily wind data over a 0.25° cell size in only 4 GB).

Applying to marine species

>Xtractomatic applied to the extraction of oceanographic data \Rightarrow habitat modelling of marine species (e.g. southern Indian Ocean).



Southern Indian Ocean



Wandering Albatross Diomedea exulans



Krefftichthys anderssoni

Habitat modelling (I): birds

Framework

- The identification of key marine areas (foraging / migration) is critical for the development of comprehensive approaches to the conservation of top predator populations.
- Top predators inhabit a heterogeneous environment and their distribution patterns reflects oceanographic variability.
- Susceptibility to anthropogenic impacts depends on:
 - (1) Habitat association.
 - (2) Degree of aggregation.
- Southern Ocean: bycatch is the main conservation problem of seabirds.
- Important to assess the distribution and oceanographic habitats of top predators for identifying key marine areas for their conservation.



Wandering Albatross

➤Conservation status: vulnerable

➤122 individual tracks (1998-2005) over a standard grid 0.25°

>Animal movement analysis for identifying foraging zones \Rightarrow foraging/not foraging.







Observed foraging patterns

Number of birds foraging within each 0.25°-size cell



Explanatory variables

| Explanatory Variables | Satellite | Spatial resolution | Range (min – max) | Oceanographic process |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---|
| Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (CHL, mg m-3) | SEAWIFS | 0.1° | 0.051 - 1.657 | Ocean productivity domains |
| CHL gradient (CHLG) | SEAWIFS | 0.1° | 0.000 - 99.487 | Frontal systems |
| Sea Surface Temperature (SST, °C) | PATHFINDER | 0.04° | 0.45 - 24.60 | Water mass distribution |
| SST gradient (SSTG) | PATHFINDER | 0.04° | 2.00 - 82.33 | Frontal systems |
| Sea Level Anomaly (SLA, m) | AVISO | 0.25° | -0.619 - 1.052 | Presence of eddies |
| SLA gradient (SLAG) | AVISO | 0.25° | 0.214 - 58.912 | Frontal systems |
| Wind speed (WIND, m s-1) | BLENDED | 0.25° | 5.822 - 12.746 | Wind patterns |
| Bathymetry (BAT, m) | ETOPO | 0.03° | 80.735 - 5847.816 | Coastal vs. pelagic domains |
| BAT gradient (BATG) | ETOPO | 0.03° | 0.187 - 96.522 | Presence of topographic features (shelf-break, seamount) |
| Distance to colony (COLONY, km) | | | 12.256 - 3354.582 | Breeding colony influence on central- place-foragers stwatch/CWBrowserWW360.jsp |

http://coastwatch.pfel.noaa.gov/coastwatch/CWBrowserWW360.jsp

Modelling procedure





Predicting foraging habitat

Predicted foraging habitat for the Wandering Albatross



Importance of the environmental variables



Habitat modelling (II): fishes

Framework

- Mesopelagic fishes are the most important biomass in the Southern Ocean after krill.
- They are a key food resources for top predator such as seabirds and mammals.
- Fish are studied using oceanographic cruises and sampled in certain locations. How to extrapolate their distribution to unsampled areas?
- The same work-flow as bird habitat modelling was followed except the use of generalized additive models (GAM, gam package).
- Map of fish habitat predictions could be used as input variables for top predators habitat modelling.



Modelling presence probability





R.V. La Curieuse

Positions of the sampling stations from 1998 to 2000 (December to March)

> Oceanographic data extract using the Xtractomatic function :

| Data | Source | Spatial resolution |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Chlorophyll | Seawifs | 0.1° |
| Sea surface temperature | Pathfinder V5 | 4 km |
| Sea surface height | Aviso | 0.25° |



Predicting occurrence patterns

Predicted presence probability of *Krefftichthys anderssoni*



Discussion & **Perspective**

➢ Given the high conservation concern of marine top predators and current major environmental changes, the standardization of the whole habitat modelling process makes much easier and faster the investigation of the oceanographic processes influencing marine species distribution patterns.

Identify unsampled areas that could be of importance for top predators

≻Our results highlight the importance of dynamic features for defining the distribution patterns of marine species (e.g. SST, CHL).

➤ Logistic regressions (GLMMs and GAMs) are robust tools for modelling species distributions and they allow generating statistical functions that allow predictions of potentially suitable habitat distribution.

> Thanks to the increasing availability of environmental data and automatic procedures for their acquisition, habitat predictions could then be made in 'real time'.



Acknowledgements

➢ We wish to thank to all participants of the fieldwork, especially to T. Guionnet, J. Martin, G. Mabille, V. Lecoment, A. Jaeger.

The study was financed by IPEV (Institut Polaire-Paul Emile Victor, programme no. 109), Prince Albert II de Monaco Foundation and GLIDES ANR Biodiversité (France) project.

>The Ethic Committee of IPEV approved the field procedure.

The oceanographic data were extracted thanks to the Environmental Research Division, Southwest Fisheries Science Center and US National Marine Fisheries Service.

ML is funded by a postdoctoral contract of the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science (Ref. EX-2007-1148) and Marie Curie Individual Fellowship (PIEF-GA-2008-220063). MLA2 Here you should introduce your funding... and maybe people who have helped during the fieldwork... I have already included the GLIDES project... Maite Louzao Arsuaga; 15/06/2009

