

# Random Number Generation for Parallel and Threaded Programs

Gregory R. Warnes

March 22, 1999



## Overall Goals

- Valid inference
- Reproducibility
- Efficiency

## Necessary Features

- Non-overlapping
- Independent

## Desirable Features

- Reproducibility
- Efficiency
- Simplicity

## Current Language Approaches

**Leave it to the User** R, S, Splus, HPF, ZPL, ...

**Single Random Number "Server"** Java

## Current User Approaches

**Naive Users** don't realize there is a problem  
== no change.

**Knowledgeable R, S, Splus users** use a  
different seed for each process.

**High Performance Computing Community**  
use offsets of a known separation in the  
stream.

# Problems with Current User Approaches

## **Naive Users:** No Change

- non-independent, often identical, random streams
- seriously jeopardizes validity of inference.

## Problems with Current User Approaches

**Knowledgeable Users:** Separate seed for each process

- difficult to choose seeds that ensure independent and non-overlapping streams



# Problems with Current User Approaches

**HPC Community:** Use offsets of known separation

- Known separation does not guarantee independence.
- Long simulations (fast computers) may require random numbers that exceed the separation.
- Not practical for all generators.

## Problem with "Single Source" (JAVA) Approach

- sequence obtained by each thread is non-deterministic
- depends on the exact order of calls among threads, which depends on non-deterministic system timings.

# My Approach to Parallel Random Number Generation

**Idea:** Use a different random number generator for each process.

**How:** Use fixed generator form, with different “magic constants”

**Implementation:** Parallel form of Bruce Collings’ (1987 JASA) random number generator.

**Availability:** C code.

## Putative Properties of Parallel Collings Generator

- Independent streams
- Non-overlapping
- Reproducible (provided same number of processes!)