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## Quantile regression

Conditional quantile regression (QR) pertains to the estimation of unknown quantiles of an outcome as a function of a set of covariates and a vector of fixed regression coefficients.

For example, consider a sample of 654 observations of FEV1 in individuals aged 3 to 19 years who were seen in the Childhood Respiratory Disease (CRD) Study in East Boston, Massachusetts ${ }^{1}$ We might be interested in estimating median FEV1 or any other quantile as a function of age, sex, smoking, etc.
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## Quantile regression (contd)



Regression quantiles (black) and mean fit (red) of FEV1 vs Age.

## Quantile regression (contd)

Let's index the quantiles $Q$ of the continuous response $y_{i}$ with $p$, $0<p<1$, that is $\operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{i} \leqslant Q_{y_{i}}(p)\right)=p$. The conditional (linear)
quantile function

can be estimated by solving (Koenker and Bassett, Econometrica, 1978)

where $g_{p}(z)=z(p-I(z<0))$ and $\beta(p)$ is the regression
coefficient vector indexed by $p$.

## Quantile regression (contd)

Let's index the quantiles $Q$ of the continuous response $y_{i}$ with $p$, $0<p<1$, that is $\operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{i} \leqslant Q_{y_{i}}(p)\right)=p$. The conditional (linear) quantile function

$$
Q_{y_{i}}\left(p \mid x_{i}\right)=x_{i}^{\prime} \beta(p), \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

can be estimated by solving (Koenker and Bassett, Econometrica, 1978)

where $g_{p}(z)=z(p-I(z<0))$ and $\beta(p)$ is the regression
coefficient vector indexed by $p$.

## Quantile regression (contd)

Let's index the quantiles $Q$ of the continuous response $y_{i}$ with $p$, $0<p<1$, that is $\operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{i} \leqslant Q_{y_{i}}(p)\right)=p$. The conditional (linear) quantile function

$$
Q_{y_{i}}\left(p \mid x_{i}\right)=x_{i}^{\prime} \beta(p), \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

can be estimated by solving (Koenker and Bassett, Econometrica, 1978)

$$
\min _{\beta} \sum_{i} g_{p}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}^{\prime} \beta(p)\right),
$$

where $g_{p}(z)=z(p-I(z<0))$ and $\beta(p)$ is the regression coefficient vector indexed by $p$.

## Quantile regression (contd)

Let's index the quantiles $Q$ of the continuous response $y_{i}$ with $p$, $0<p<1$, that is $\operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{i} \leqslant Q_{y_{i}}(p)\right)=p$. The conditional (linear) quantile function

$$
Q_{y_{i}}\left(p \mid x_{i}\right)=x_{i}^{\prime} \beta(p), \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

can be estimated by solving (Koenker and Bassett, Econometrica, 1978)

$$
\min _{\beta} \sum_{i} g_{p}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}^{\prime} \beta(p)\right),
$$

where $g_{p}(z)=z(p-I(z<0))$ and $\beta(p)$ is the regression coefficient vector indexed by $p$.

# Likelihood-based quantile regression: The asymmetric Laplace 

Quantile regression problem
(least absolute deviations)

$$
\min _{\beta} \sum g_{p}\left(y-x^{\prime} \beta\right)
$$
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# Likelihood-based quantile regression: The asymmetric Laplace 

| Mean regression problem (least squares) | Quantile regression problem (least absolute deviations) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\min _{\beta} \sum\left(y-x^{\prime} \beta\right)^{2}$ | $\min _{\beta} \sum g_{p}\left(y-x^{\prime} \beta\right)$ |
|  | skewness parameter $\uparrow$ |
| $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(y-x^{\prime} \beta\right)^{2}\right\}$ | $\frac{p(1-p)}{\sigma} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{\sigma}\left(8_{D} y-x^{\prime} \beta\right)\right\}$ |
| Normal distribution | Asymmetric Laplace distribution |

## Quantile regression and random effects

If $y \sim \operatorname{AL}(\mu, \sigma, p)$ then $Q_{y}(p)=\mu$.
The aim is to develop a QR model for hierarchical data. Inclusion of random intercepts in the conditional quantile function is straightforward (Geraci and Bottai, Biostatistics, 2007)

$$
Q_{y}(p \mid x, u)=x^{\prime} \beta(p)+u .
$$

Likelihood-based estimation (MCEM - R and WinBUGS) assuming

```
- y }=X\beta+7|\mp@code{T
- u~N
- \epsilon ~ AL (0,\sigmal, p) (p is fixed a priori)
- u\perp\epsilon
```
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## Linear Quantile Mixed Models

The package lqmm (S3-style) is a suite of commands for fitting linear quantile mixed models of the type

- $y=X \beta+Z u+\epsilon$
- continuous $y$
- two-level nested model (e.g., repeated measurements on same subject, households within same postcode, etc)
- $\epsilon \sim \operatorname{AL}(0, \sigma I, p)$
- multiple, symmetric random effects with covariance matrix $\Psi$ $(q \times q)$
- $u \perp \epsilon$

Note all unknown parameters are p-dependent
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## LQMM estimation

Let the pair ( $i j$ ), $j=1, \ldots, n_{i}, i=1, \ldots, M$, index the $j$-th observation for the $i$-th cluster/group/subject. The joint density of $(y, u)$ based on $M$ clusters for the linear quantile mixed model is given by

$$
f(y, u \mid \beta, \sigma, \Psi)=f(y \mid \beta, \sigma, u) f(u \mid \Psi)=\prod_{i=1}^{M} f\left(y_{i} \mid \beta, \sigma, u_{i}\right) f\left(u_{i} \mid \Psi\right)
$$

Numerical integration of likelihood (log-concave by Prékopa, 1973)

where $\sigma_{n_{i}}(p)=[p(1-p) / \sigma]^{n_{i}}$ and $g_{p}\left(e_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} g_{p}\left(e_{j}\right)$.
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Numerical integration of likelihood (log-concave by Prékopa, 1973)
$L_{i}(\beta, \sigma, \Psi \mid y)=\sigma_{n_{i}}(p) \int_{R^{q}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{\sigma} g_{p}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}^{\prime} \beta(p)-z_{i}^{\prime} u_{i}\right)\right\} f\left(u_{i} \mid \Psi\right) d u_{i}$,
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Numerical integration with

- normal random effects $u \sim N(0, \Psi) \rightarrow$ Gauss-Hermite quadrature
- robust random effects $u \sim$ Laplace $(0, \psi)$ under the assumption $\Psi=\psi l \rightarrow$ Gauss-Laguerre quadrature

Estimation of fixed effects $\beta$ and covariance matrix $\psi$

- gradient search for Laplace likelihood (subgradient optimization)
- derivative-free optimization (e.g., Nelder-Mead)
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## Iqmm package



## Labor pain data

- repeated measurements of self-reported amount of pain (response) on 83 women in labor
- 43 randomly assigned to a pain medication group and 40 to a placebo group
- response measured every 30 min on a $100-\mathrm{mm}$ line (0 no pain - 100 extreme pain)

Aim

- to assess the effectiveness of the medication
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Density of the labor pain score plotted for the entire sample (solid line), for the pain medication group only (dashed line) and for the placebo group only (dot-dashed line). Source: Geraci and Bottai (2007).


Boxplot of labor pain score. The lines represent the estimate of the quartiles for the placebo group (solid) and the pain medication group (dashed). Source: Geraci and Bottai (2007).

```
# LQMM FIT
> tmp <- labor$y/100; tmp[tmp == 0] <- 0.025; tmp[tmp == 1] <- 0.975
> labor$pain_logit <- log(tmp/(1-tmp)) # outcome
> system.time(
+ fit.int <- lqmm(pain_logit ~ time_center*treatment, random = ~ 1, group =
labor$id, data = labor, iota = c(0.1,0.5,0.9))
+)
    user system elapsed
    0.22 0.00 0.22
# PRINT LQMM OBJECTS
> fit.int
Call: lqmm(formula = pain_logit ~ time_center * treatment, random = ~1,
    group = labor$id, data = labor, iota = c(0.1, 0.5, 0.9))
Fixed effects:
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Intercept & -0.9828 & 0.3702 & 1.8547 \\
time_center & 0.7739 & 0.7569 & 0.8009 \\
treatment & -2.6808 & -2.5201 & -1.8623 \\
time_center:treatment & -0.7740 & -0.7523 & -0.5908
\end{tabular}
```

Number of observations: 357
Number of groups: 83

```
# EXTRACTING STATISTICS
> logLik(fit.int)
'log Lik.' -640.5730, -628.0026, -695.6520 (df=6)
> coef(fit.int)
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
& 0.1 & 0.5 & 0.9 \\
Intercept & -0.9827571 & 0.3702043 & 1.8546808 \\
time_center & 0.7738773 & 0.7569301 & 0.8009082 \\
treatment & -2.6808118 & -2.5200997 & -1.8623206 \\
time_center:treatment & -0.7739989 & -0.7522610 & -0.5907584
\end{tabular}
> cov.lqmm(fit.int)
$`0.1`
Intercept
    2.093377
$`0.5`
Intercept
    2.630906
$`0.9`
Intercept
    2.78936
```

```
# RANDOM SLOPE
> system.time(
+ fit.slope <- lqmm(pain_logit ~ time_center*treatment, random = ~ time_center,
group = labor$id, covariance = "pdSymm", data = labor, iota = c(0.1,0.5,0.9))
+ )
user system elapsed
8.24 0.00 8.24
> cov.lqmm(fit.slope)
$`0.1`
Intercept
Intercept 1.4655346 0.31884671
time_center 0.3188467 0.07266096
$`0.5`
Intercept 2.52906615 0.091073866
Intercept 2.52996615 0.091073866
time_center 0.09107387 0.004447338
$`0.9`
    Intercept time_center
Intercept 1.7134076 -0.17001109
time_center -0.1700111 0.02155449
> AIC(fit.int)
[1] 1293.146 1268.005 1403.304
> AIC(fit.slope)
[1] 1375.718 1268.372 1401.859
```

```
# SUMMARY LQMM OBJECT
```

> fit.int <- lqmm(pain_logit ~ time_center*treatment, random $=\sim 1$, group $=$
labor\$id, data = labor, iota = 0.5, type = "robust")
> summary (fit.int)
Call: lqmm(formula = pain_logit $\sim$ time_center * treatment, random $=\sim 1$,
group $=$ labor\$id, data $=$ labor, iota $=0.5$, type $=$ "robust")
Quantile 0.5

| Intercept | 0.011624 | 0.161867 | -0.313661 | 0.3369 | 0.943 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| time_center | 0.708198 | 0.074178 | 0.559132 | 0.8573 | $9.158 \mathrm{e}-13$ *** |
| treatment | -2.989943 | 0.134250 | -3.259729 | $-2.7202<2.2 \mathrm{e}-16$ *** |  |
| time_center:treatment | -0.627419 | 0.092040 | -0.812381 | -0.4425 | $1.275 \mathrm{e}-08$ *** |
| scale | 0.428443 | 0.031258 | 0.365628 | $0.4913<2.2 \mathrm{e}-16$ *** |  |

Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Null model (likelihood ratio):
[1] $183(p=0)$
AIC:
[1] 1304 ( $\mathrm{df}=6$ )

## Warning message:

In errorHandling (OPTIMIZATION\$low_loop, "low", control\$low_loop_max_iter, :
Lower loop did not converge in: lqmm. Try increasing max number of iterations (500) or tolerance (0.001)

## Concluding remarks

Performance assessment

- pilot simulation: confirmed previous bias and efficiency results but much faster than MCEM
- main simulation: extensive range of models and scenarios
- algorithm speed (preview):
- lqmm method "gs" ranged from 0.03 (random intercept models) to 14 seconds (random intercept + slope) on average, for sample size between $250(M=50 \times n=5)$ and 1000 ( $M=100 \times n=10$ )
- linear programming (quantreg::rq) vs gradient search (lqmm::lqm)

Time to convergence (location-shift model)
Intel Core i7 @ 2.93Ghz, RAM 16 GB, Windows 64-bit


## Concluding remarks

Work in progress

- estimation algorithms: "A Gradient Search Algorithm for Estimation of Laplace Regression" (with Prof. Matteo Bottai and Dr Nicola Orsini - Karolinska Institutet) and "Geometric Programming for Quantile Mixed Models"
- methodological: "Linear Quantile Mixed Models" (with M. Bottai) (available upon request m.geraci@ich.ucl.ac.uk)
- software: Iqmm for Stata (with M. Bottai and N. Orsini)


## Concluding remarks

To-do list (as usual, very long)

- submit to CRAN!
- plot functions
- adaptive quadrature
- integration on sparse grids (Smolyak, Soviet Mathematics

Doklady, 1963, Heiss and Winschel, J Econometrics, 2008)

- missing data
- smoothing
- interface with other packages
- S4-style
- ...
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